

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,171	06/23/2003	Richard L. Mueller JR.	ACU-126	9435
75	590 11/29/2004		EXAM	INER
OLSON & HIERL, LTD.			TOOR, SADAF A	
36th Floor 20 North Wacker Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,171	MUELLER, RICHARD L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sadaf Toor	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9-24,26 and 27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 25 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)  accepted or b)  obje the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

L

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 220 and 222 in Fig. 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "416" in Fig. 6B apparently should be changed to --426--. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of

the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "the collection vessel" in line 5 3. apparently should be replaced by --a collection vessel--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 4.
  - "Begins" on page 1, line 20 apparently should be changed to --begin--. a.
  - The word --brush-- should be inserted after "biopsy" on page 2, line 5. b.
  - The word --a-- should be inserted between "is" and "side" on page 3, line 8. c.
  - "14" apparently should be changed to --13-- on page 4, line 6 to be consistent d. with the reference numerals in lines 4-5 on page 4.
  - "555" apparently should be replaced by --535-- on page 8, line 22. e.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 5.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,171

Art Unit: 3736

6. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

7. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the elongated housing" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 12-14 are also rejected for depending from claim 11.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-6 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suciu et al. ('537). Suciu et al. teach an endometrial cytology brush. The cytology brush comprises a bristle shank (shaft 22), a bristle structure on the shank having bristles (14), a brush handle (20), and a couple for releasably attaching the bristle shank to the brush handle (see Fig. 9 and corresponding parts of the description). Regarding claim 2, rounded bead 16 and edge 17 provide an atraumatic tip as taught in column 4, lines 42-48. Referring to claim 3, Suciu et al. teach that the bristles may be nylon bristles (see column 4, lines 34-35). Regarding claims 4 and 17, elements 34 and 36 in Fig. 9 appear to illustrate a threaded connection. Regarding claims 5-6 and 18-19, Suciu et al. teach that the brush and shaft are "removably engaged" with the handle (see column 5, lines 30-34). Examiner interprets "engaged" to imply a friction connection. Element

Application/Control Number: 10/602,171

Art Unit: 3736

36 of Fig 9., although not addressed in the description, appears to be a female end of a connection or collar.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- al. ('537) in view of Ouchi ('850). As discussed above, Suciu et al. teach a cytology brush having a bristle shank with bristles of sufficient stiffness to loosen cytology material without traumatization to the tissue, a handle, and a coupling for releasably attaching the bristle shank to the brush handle. However, Suciu et al. fail to teach that the coupling is a claw carried by the bristle shank and releasably engaging the bristle shank. Ouchi teaches a treatment accessory for an endoscope. In column 2, lines 11-14, Ouchi teaches that the treatment accessory may be a cytology brush including a bristle portion. Figures 2B and 4-6 best illustrate the brush having a claw (openable portions 14A) which releasably engages the bristle shank (12). Ouchi teaches that when the brush is retracted into the elongated housing (11), the claw (14A) opens to fit the inner surface of the shank (12) and also serves to protect the brush (2) and retain a number of cells on the bristles (see column 6, lines 26-32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide a cytology brush similar that

Art Unit: 3736

taught by Suciu et al. with a claw as taught by Ouchi to provide a means for releasably engaging the bristle shank and protecting the cells during retraction of the brush.

12. Claims 9, 11-13, 21-24, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suciu et al. ('537) in view of Vermeulen ('323). As discussed above, Suciu et al. teach a cytology brush having a bristle shank with bristles of sufficient stiffness to loosen cytology material without traumatization to the tissue, a handle, and a coupling for releasably attaching the bristle shank to the brush handle. However, Suciu et al. fail to teach an elongated housing for the brush handle and a biopsy site marker releasably carried by the cytology brush in the elongated housing. Suciu et al. also fail to teach a detent for limiting axial travel of the brush handle within the elongated housing, that the handle is slidably received in the housing, the detent is removably secured to the brush handle, and the housing is tubular. Vermeulen teaches a cytology brush which includes an elongated tubular housing (outer sheath 106). Regarding claims 9 and 21, the Vermeulen brush also includes a biopsy site marker (120) carried by the bristle shank (102) in the elongated housing (106). Referring now to claims 11-13 and 22-24, Vermeulen teaches a detent (retainer clip 132), which is removably secured to the housing, for limiting axial travel of the brush within the elongated housing (106). Ends 134 and 136 of the detent (132) coordinate with grooves 116 and 128 integrating the clip into the housing (see Fig. 1 and 3). Column 4 lines 1-27 detail the operation of the brush and use of the detent (132) to limit longitudinal movement of the brush within the housing and protect the bristles until the brush reaches the cytology location. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide a cytology brush similar that taught by Suciu et al. with an elongated housing, biopsy markers, and detent similar to that taught by the Vermeulen

Art Unit: 3736

cytology brush to provide protection for the bristles during insertion and placement of the brush in the cytology location and a means for recording the location of the cytology site.

13. Claims 10 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suciu et al. ('537) in view of Vermeulen ('323) as applied to claims 9 and 24 above, and further in view of Sirimanne et al. ('324). As discussed above, the Suciu et al. cytology brush, with the modifications of the Vermeulen cytology brush, teaches all the limitations of the claims except that the biopsy site marker is an outwardly biased coil or a titanium coil. Sirimanne et al. teach a marking device and method. Sirimanne disclose an outwardly biased coil which conforms to the shape of the cavity of interest (see Fig. 5D and corresponding parts of the description). Paragraph [0060], line 10 teaches that the coil can be made of titanium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide a cytology brush similar to that of Suciu et al. and Vermeulen with the biopsy site marker being an outwardly biased coil made of titanium as taught by Sirimanne et al. to provide a means for locating the cytology site for follow-up examination (see paragraphs [0004] and [0005]).

### Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 8 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3736

- 15. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 8, no prior art of record teach or fairly suggest a cytology brush having a bristle shank, a bristle structure on the shank having bristles, a brush handle, and a claw or corkscrew couple for releasably attaching the bristle shank to the brush handle. Regarding claim 25, no prior art of record teach or fairly suggest a cytology brush having a bristle shank, a bristle structure on the shank having bristles, a detachable brush handle, a dispensable opaque marker, and a pivotable arm to serve as a detent on a housing. Regarding claim 14, no prior art of record teach or fairly suggest a cytology brush having a bristle shank, a bristle structure on the shank having bristles, a brush handle, a coupling for releasably attaching the bristle shank to the handle, and a detent mounted to an elongated housing in a hinged relationship.

#### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yim ('265) teaches a brush assembly for obtaining endocervical tissue samples. Fig. 8 illustrates the brush comprising two interconnecting shafts. Lonky et al. ('044) teach a brush with bristles for collection of cells in an oral cavity where a brush head may be attached to a handle by a threaded connection. Sussman ('195) teaches a brush including a bristle shank with a bristle structure that is frictionally coupled to a handle by a collar.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,171

Art Unit: 3736

Page 9

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sadaf Toor whose telephone number is (571) 272-4734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sat 11/18/04

CHAPLES MARMOR PRIMARY EXAMINER